Analysis by Barry Rigal


| Bd: 6 | A AJ84 |
| :---: | :---: |
| DIr: East | - A 10 |
| Vul: E-W | - 2 |
|  | \& K J 10973 |
| A K 106 | A Q 97 |
| - KQ87 | $52 \quad$ J3 |
| - K 4 | -10987 |
| \& 64 | \& Q 852 |
|  | A 532 |
|  | -964 |
|  | - AQJ653 |
|  | \% $A$ |

When South opens 1 some Wests will make a simple overcall in hearts, others will jump to $2 \boldsymbol{V}$, showing a moderate hand because of the position and vulnerability. Whichever action he takes, it is hard to see N/S going plus, as the lie of the diamonds means 3NT is virtually hopeless after a heart lead, as that disrupts the entries to the club suit, while diamonds lie terribly today. If declarer takes a diamond finesse, any West who ducks the first round of diamonds will be embarrassed by the result.

| Bd: 7 | A 102 |
| :---: | :---: |
| DIr: South | $\bullet 7$ |
| Vul: Both | - A Q 86 |
|  | \& Q 97643 |
| A Q J 7 | A AK863 |
| - A 1085 | - KQ93 |
| - J 952 | - 73 |
| \& A 10 | \& K 2 |
|  | A 954 |
|  | - J 642 |
|  | - K 104 |
|  | \& J 85 |

Sometimes it is hard to decide between the 5-3 and 4-4 fit. Today it seems to make absolutely no difference which you choose, though I suppose you have slightly more difficulty in negotiating a bad heart break in hearts than in spades. Regardless of whether North intervenes by bidding one or both minors, the final contract will surely be four of a major, making 11 tricks. If E/W get to 3NT they can take 11 tricks for almost a clear top on any lead but a diamond - in which case they would collect a round zero instead.

| Bd: 8 | A K J |
| :---: | :---: |
| DIr: West | - K J 75 |
| Vul: None | - J932 |
|  | \& K 82 |
| A 1054 | A Q98632 |
| - A 3 | -10864 |
| - Q 86 | - A 107 |
| \&J7643 | 3 \&- |
|  | A A 7 |
|  | - Q 92 |
|  | -K54 |
|  | \& A Q 1095 |

North's hand is hardly a classic opener (Ely Culbertson is turning in his grave at the idea). But with nobody vulnerable, it pays to get in early at pairs. You'd expect East to bid spades over $1 *$, and now N/S rate to reach $3 N T$ on a spade lead. Since he does not have second sight, declarer will win the A, cash the \&A, then play a club to the eight and take the $\& \mathrm{~K}$. To leave himself in the game, East must pitch two diamonds and a spade; now to bring home his contract, South must lead a heart to the nine. Any declarer who reads the cards this well will truly deserve his top!

| Bd: 9 | Q 74 |
| :--- | :--- |
| DIr: North Q |  |
| Vul: E-W A | A 7652 |
|  | 62 |



North has a mandatory 2 opener, despite those irksome major-suit queens - don't wait for the perfect hand to preempt. If East passes (and what else can he do?) there is much to be said for South bidding clubs instead of raising to $3 \leqslant$. Surely the opponents can make game in one major or the other? Getting the clubs in should render it impossible for E/W to reach their only making game of 3NT. The point is that the defenders can beat 4 by force via a trump promotion on the third round of clubs. Of course, on passive defense West might still go wrong in trumps in $4 \boldsymbol{V}$.

| Bd: 10 | A K |
| :---: | :---: |
| DIr: East | -10973 |
| Vul: Both | - A Q 984 |
|  | \& 1096 |
| A A 93 | A J87652 |
| - A Q J 2 | $\checkmark 65$ |
| -632 | -105 |
| \& AK 5 | \& J 32 |
|  | A Q 104 |
|  | - K84 |
|  | -KJ 7 |
|  | \& Q 874 |

West's controls make him too strong for a 1NT bid, despite his flat shape. If he opens 1\&, you'd expect most Norths to be unable to resist a 1 overcall, and now South can respond 1NT. Will West then double to show extras or an unspecified good hand? The expert community really doesn't agree on what that double means. A quick glance at the double-dummy analysis suggests that, curiously, spades plays best from the weak hand, to avoid a club lead, while South can take eight tricks in notrump. In other words, a good hand on which to declare, not defend.

| Bd: 11 | A K 7 |
| :---: | :---: |
| DIr: South | -1093 |
| Vul: None | - 943 |
|  | \& K 10975 |
| A Q J 6 | A 3 |
| - Q 876 | - J 542 |
| -QJ86 | - AK7 |
| \& 42 | \& A Q J 63 |
|  | A A 1098542 |
|  | $\checkmark$ AK |
|  | -1052 |
|  | \& 8 |


| Bd: 12 | AK985 | When West opens 1 (most will) East will respond 1NT. That lets South double, hoping he doesn't hear North bid diamonds. It will be West who introduces $2 \leqslant$, though, and |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: West | -10542 |  |
| Vul: N-S | - Q 62 | North may have just enough to compete to $2 \boldsymbol{A}$. That rates to end the auction, and then the issue will be whether the defenders can hold North to eight tricks by taking the club |
|  | \& 102 |  |
| A Q 3 | A J 64 | ruff and then have declarer misguess trumps. If West consciously breaches the Law of |
| - KQ763 | $3 \quad$ J 8 | Total Tricks by competing to $3 \star$, he can be doubled and set 300 . |
| -KJ975 | 5 -843 |  |
| \& 6 | \& A Q 754 |  |
|  | A A 1072 |  |
|  | - A 9 |  |
|  | - A 10 |  |
|  | \& KJ983 |  |
| Bd: 13 | A J 765 | It is hard to imagine too many Norths being put off by the puny four-card spade suit |
| Dlr: North | - AKJ1093 | from opening $2 \boldsymbol{v}$. But those who do pass and subsequently manage to bid to four of a major will perhaps be able to point to their result in that contract as a justification for not |
| Vul: Both | -8 |  |
|  | \& 109 | preempting. I'm not buying that; $\mathbf{4 \boldsymbol { A }}$ is, after all, on a finesse at best, and actually needs rather more than that. So while playing 2 and collecting +170 will not be a spectacular |
| A A 8 | A Q 42 |  |
| -87542 | $2 \quad 6$ | result today, it is where $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{S}$ are supposed to end up, and virtue will eventually be its own reward. |
| -K42 | - A J 10763 |  |
| \& K Q 8 | \& J 52 |  |
|  | A K 1093 |  |
|  | $\bullet$ Q |  |
|  | -Q95 |  |
|  | \& $A 7643$ |  |

```
AQ3 AJ64
\bulletKQ763 VJ8
*KJ975 * 843
&6 & }
    A A 1072
    - A }
    - A }1
    &KJ983
```

| Bd: 12 | AK985 | When West opens 1 (most will) East will respond 1NT. That lets South double, hoping he doesn't hear North bid diamonds. It will be West who introduces $2 \leqslant$, though, and |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: West | -10542 |  |
| Vul: N-S | - Q 62 | North may have just enough to compete to $2 \boldsymbol{A}$. That rates to end the auction, and then the issue will be whether the defenders can hold North to eight tricks by taking the club |
|  | \& 102 |  |
| A Q 3 | A J 64 | ruff and then have declarer misguess trumps. If West consciously breaches the Law of |
| - KQ763 | $3 \quad$ J 8 | Total Tricks by competing to $3 \star$, he can be doubled and set 300 . |
| -KJ975 | 5 -843 |  |
| \& 6 | \& A Q 754 |  |
|  | A A 1072 |  |
|  | - A 9 |  |
|  | - A 10 |  |
|  | \& KJ983 |  |
| Bd: 13 | A J 765 | It is hard to imagine too many Norths being put off by the puny four-card spade suit |
| Dlr: North | - AKJ1093 | from opening $2 \boldsymbol{v}$. But those who do pass and subsequently manage to bid to four of a major will perhaps be able to point to their result in that contract as a justification for not |
| Vul: Both | -8 |  |
|  | \& 109 | preempting. I'm not buying that; $\mathbf{4 \boldsymbol { A }}$ is, after all, on a finesse at best, and actually needs rather more than that. So while playing 2 and collecting +170 will not be a spectacular |
| A A 8 | A Q 42 |  |
| -87542 | $2 \quad 6$ | result today, it is where $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{S}$ are supposed to end up, and virtue will eventually be its own reward. |
| -K42 | - A J 10763 |  |
| \& K Q 8 | \& J 52 |  |
|  | A K 1093 |  |
|  | $\bullet$ Q |  |
|  | -Q95 |  |
|  | \& $A 7643$ |  |


| Bd: 14 | A Q 9 |
| :---: | :---: |
| DIr: East | - A 7 |
| Vul: None | -K53 |
|  | \&KJ9864 |
| A 832 | A A 754 |
| - K J 9 | - Q 10842 |
| - Q 102 | - J 86 |
| \& Q 1072 | 2 \& 3 |
|  | A K J 106 |
|  | -653 |
|  | - A 974 |
|  | * A 5 |

It is hard to imagine too many Norths being put off by the puny four-card spade suit from opening $2 \boldsymbol{v}$. But those who do pass and subsequently manage to bid to four of a major will perhaps be able to point to their result in that contract as a justification for not preempting. I'm not buying that; $4 \boldsymbol{A}$ is, after all, on a finesse at best, and actually needs rather more than that. So while playing $2 \boldsymbol{a}$ and collecting +170 will not be a spectacular result today, it is where $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{S}$ are supposed to end up, and virtue will eventually be its own reward.

Some Souths will open 4A, but those defensive tricks suggest a $1 \boldsymbol{A}$ bid instead. Now when East doubles North's 1NT response, South can rebid $2 \boldsymbol{A}$, and West will want to compete. His options may include a takeout double, an artificial 2NT call, or a simple $3 \boldsymbol{V}$ bid. When North competes to $3 \boldsymbol{A}$, par will have been achieved..but if East raises $3 \boldsymbol{V}$ to $4 \boldsymbol{V}$, the defenders may have their work cut out to set it. They must lead $\boldsymbol{\uparrow} K$ and another spade. Then South wins the first heart to play A A followed by a fourth spade when in with $\vee$ A, to promote a trump for North.

Once South bids $1 \star$, he is almost certain to reach 3NT on an auction such as $1-2 \boldsymbol{2 N T}-3 N T$. That leaves West a problem on opening lead. Those who opt for a passive spade will be disappointed, those who put a heart on the table (perhaps the jack?) will end up defeating 3NT. Note that if the defenders lead and continue the attack on spades, then declarer might emerge with an extremely unlikely +460 , and some very unhappy opponents. Of course if East is on lead to 3NT, it will be a whole different story.

| Bd: 15 A 9 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DIr: South 1087 |  |
| Vul: N-S | 1098532 |
|  | \& A 54 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { A Q 1086 } \\ & \vee \text { KQ952 } \\ & \text { AQ4 } \\ & \text { \& J } \end{aligned}$ | A 75432 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 - J |
|  | -6 |
|  | \& Q 87632 |
|  | A AKJ |
|  | - A 643 |
|  | - KJ 7 |
|  | \% K 109 |

Bd: 15 A 9
DIr: South 1087
Vul: N-S 1098532

- A 54

There is no reason to consider upgrading the South hand into a 20-22 2NT call. If South does that, or can open a 19-20 2NT, he will end up in 3NT. Now even after a top heart lead, the defenders have enough fire-power to set up their five winners. More likely is that West will hear South open 1\&, over which he can either double or bid 1V, depending on style. Now the issue is how many diamonds $N / S$ will bid, and how high E/W may compete in spades. The favorable position in clubs offsets the bad diamond break and means +130 is par for N/S, while E/W can make $2 \boldsymbol{A}$.

| Bd: 16 | A K J 10873 | Some Wests will pass, others may preempt to $2 \boldsymbol{\vee}, 3$, or possibly $4 \boldsymbol{V}$. No matter how many hearts he bids, you'd expect North to introduce spades, and East to raise |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: West | $\checkmark 4$ |  |
| Vul: E-W AQ743 <br> \& $Q$ |  | hearts. After that, where is the music going to stop? Both West and North will love their offensive possibilities, and each may bid on until doubled. E/W can take 11 tricks in hearts, while on perfect defense 5 $\boldsymbol{A}$ must lose one trick in each suit plus the diamond ruff. Given the vulnerability, spade sacrifices (probably for -300 ) will surely be the order of the day, even if North is bidding to make his contract. |
| A 9 A A 6 |  |  |
| -QJ10952 * 83 |  |  |
| \& A 109865 \&J43 |  |  |
| A 1098 | A Q 542 |  |
| - K 76 |  |  |
| - J 108 |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Bd: 17 | A A 863 | I believe North has far too much for a 15-17 notrump bid, but I may be in the minority. |
| Dİ: North | - A 109 | In practice, whether North opens 1* and jumps to 2NT over the $1 \mathbf{1}$ response, or South |
| Vul: None | - A 7 <br> \& KQ98 | follows a Stayman sequence in response to a 1NT call, North will end up in 3NT. You could sympathize with a disastrous club opening lead from East in the latter case; but |
| A K 1074$\bullet 52$ |  |  |
|  | - ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | after a top diamond lead from East, declarer will discover he needs to find the $V$ to make his game. Moreover, if he tests clubs and finds East with length, he is unlikely to |
| * J 10943 | 32 KQ6 |  |
|  | \&J1043 |  |
| A Q J 2 |  |  |
| - KJ43 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 85 \\ & \& A 765 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Bd: 18 | A J 1054 | West rates to open 1 NT , either because he thinks it is technically correct, or because he wants to close the opponents out of the majors. Now when East uses Smolen to show |
| Dlr: East | - A 43 |  |
| Vul: $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | $10865$ | wants to close the opponents out of the majors. Now when East uses Smolen to show his major suits West should declare 3NT. What is North to lead now? The 8 (2nd/4th) will see South obliged to take his ace and return the suit (partner might have led from |
| A A 9 <br> - J 8 <br> -KJ 92 <br> \& AK742 | - ${ }^{\text {a }} 763$ |  |
|  |  | -KJ98). Declarer will win in dummy and play a heart to the jack, as South gives count. If the defenders mistakenly duck a second heart, West can respond by ducking a club. Now he may come quite close to making his improbable game. |
|  | -KQ976 |  |
|  | $2 \quad$ <br>  |  |
|  | AK82 |  |
| $\checkmark 1052$ |  |  |
| - A 73 |  |  |
| \& Q J 108 |  |  |
| Bd: 19 <br> DIr: South | A A 865 | When West leads a second highest heart against South's likely contract of 1NT, South |
|  | -1082 | will win in hand and drive out the \&A. Regardless of what the defense does now, the $\vee \mathrm{J}$ |
| Vul: E-W | A\& 74 | serves as a re-entry to hand, and allows declarer to come to eight tricks. The defenders can do better by attacking diamonds, but in practice this deal looks like a classic 'small |
|  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { AK Q } 2 \\ & \bullet 7543 \end{aligned}$ | A J 107 | board' at pairs, where the fight will be about overtricks and undertricks. My money is on +120 at a majority of the tables. |
|  | - KQ 9 |  |
| -K74 Q 1093 |  |  |
| \& 986 | \& A 53 |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | ¢ 943$\bullet$ AJ |  |
|  | - J 2 |  |
|  | * K Q J 102 |  |
| Bd: 20 | A 852 | In first seat you'd expect West to open 1\% rather than 1NT (and yes, a very few may opt for 1A). East now has the choice of dredging for a red-suit fit or passing 1\&\%. If he responds, South may overcall 1NT and play there, probably without much luck if the defenders go passive with club leads. If East passes initially, South can choose between passing out 1\%, doubling then rebidding 1NT, or balancing with 1NT - which would normally show a weaker hand than this. Few pairs will make a contract here, unless the defenders gift South a trick or two in the majors. |
| Dlir: West | $\checkmark 432$ |  |
| Vul: Both | -K6532 |  |
|  | \& K 2 |  |
| A AKJ 7 | A 109 |  |
| - AQ6 | - J 1085 |  |
| -107 | -Q984 |  |
| \& 10764 | * J 93 |  |
|  | A Q643 |  |
|  | -K97 |  |
|  | - A J |  |
|  | \& $A$ Q 85 |  |


| Bd: 21 | 95 | When East preempts to 2 over North's $1 \%$ opener, South can double then introduce spades, or bid and rebid spades, each of which would not be forcing. Both approaches should see South declare $3 \boldsymbol{A}$. Curiously, though, it is hard to defeat $4 \boldsymbol{A}$ after the $V Q$ lead. West must duck his A at trick two. If South runs the $\boldsymbol{\wedge} 9$ round to him, West must take his A and shift to a club. Now if declarer plays off both top clubs and leads a third, East ruffs in with $\mathbb{A}$ J. But should South ruff the second club to hand and cash the $\boldsymbol{A} \mathrm{A}$, West must unblock in trumps to avoid the throw-in! |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: North | - 102 |  |
| Vul: N-S | - KQ6 <br> \& AK 10942 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \wedge K Q 3 \\ & \vee Q \end{aligned}$ | - J 2 <br> - KJ 9653 <br> - 1054 |  |
| -7653 |  |  |
|  | A A 108764 |  |
|  | - A 874 |  |
|  | - 32 |  |
|  | \& J |  |
| Bd: 22 | A $K Q$ | At unfavorable vulnerability, West might pass rather than preempt to 3\%. Whether he acts initially or later, $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{S}$ will have to decide whether to play game or partscore, and which red-suit to declare. There is little to choose between them: 4 could be much better if hearts broke or go down in flames against a 5-1 split. Today, though, each game needs declarer to find $\checkmark Q$ - and it will be hard to misguess that card on this layout. If N/S finish up in 3NT, they will take nine tricks there too, so the only sensible game that fails is the $5-2$ spade fit. |
| DIr: East | - AKQ 54 |  |
| Vul: E-W | -KJ1084 |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Bd: 23 <br> DIr: South <br> Vul: Both | A K63 | At some tables South will preempt, elsewhere either West or North might decide they have an opening bid. One way or another, East should bring hearts into play and West will raise, allowing the partnership to play game in peace and quiet. But the real overbidders might find a way to reach slam. 6 is playable enough: in theory it needs the club finesse, plus either the club break or spade finesse. But South may be able to shift to a spade early, and force declarer to commit himself prematurely as to what to do. 12 tricks ought surely to be the norm in hearts, though. |
|  | -654 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A Q9 } 2 \\ & \& \text { Q } 85 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| A A Q 8 <br> - KJ82 <br> - 1086 <br> \& J 43 | A 97 |  |
|  | - AQ973 |  |
|  | - J AK1062 |  |
|  | A J 10542 |  |
|  | -10 |  |
|  | -K7543 |  |
|  | \& 97 |  |
| Bd: 24 <br> Dlr: West <br> Vul: None | ヘ9852 | What do your first-in-hand preempts look like when non-vulnerable? If you would open 3 on a wing and prayer, then maybe this hand qualifies as a 4 preempt (don't be afraid, we aren't judging you). East will surely do no more than raise a $3 \vee$ opener to game without considering slam. However, if West bids $4 \boldsymbol{\bullet}$, East might simply blast out $6 \boldsymbol{V}$, or use keycard en route to playing slam. The two hands do fit perfectly together, and there are 12 top tricks, but simply reaching slam will surely be worth at least a $75 \%$ result. |
|  | $\checkmark 3$ |  |
|  | -J65 |  |
|  | \& KQ643 |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | A J 106 |  |
|  | - A 5 |  |
|  | -Q942 |  |
|  | \& J 1092 |  |
| Bd: 25 | A 10762 | In third seat, some Souths will open $2 \boldsymbol{V}$, others $1 \boldsymbol{V}$. When West bids spades, North might drive to $4 \boldsymbol{V}$ or show a raise to $3 \boldsymbol{V}$, but what happens after that will be harder to predict. While the defenders can cash four winners against $4 \boldsymbol{V}$, West may lead two rounds of spades. Now declarer might take the diamond finesse, and go two down instead of one. Even if South guesses to ruff out the diamonds, he will still have to draw precisely one round of trumps to bring home his game. Spade contracts by E/W are likely to make precisely nine tricks, and many will play $3 \boldsymbol{A}$. |
| DIr: North Vul: E-W | -AK82 |  |
|  | - K3 |  |
|  | \& 1032 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { A AKJ } 98 \\ & \text { \& } 4 \\ & \text { Q65 } \\ & \text { \& K J } 97 \end{aligned}$ | 8 - Q 43 |  |
|  | $\bullet 973$ |  |
|  | 10874 \& $A 4$ |  |
|  | A 5 |  |
|  | - QJ 1065 |  |
|  | - AJ92 |  |
|  | \& 865 |  |


| Bd: 26 | A K 106 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Dlr: East | - K10953 |
| Vul: Both | -K J <br> $\%$ K 32 |
| A Q J 75 | A A 42 |
| $\bullet 7$ | - 86 |
| -Q952 | - A 10764 |
| \& A 1095 | \& J 64 |
|  | A 983 |
|  | - AQJ42 |
|  | - 83 |
|  | \& Q 87 |



Another unlikely opening for West; in practice, most Norths will get to open 1V, after which the board becomes a battle of the red suits. E/W can make at least $3 \leqslant$, despite holding a 9-card fit and less than half the deck, and might get clubs going, to avoid losing a spade trick. Meanwhile, on accurate defense, N/S can only take eight winners, despite their 10-card heart fit. Against $3 \mathbb{V}$, the defenders ought to take two tricks in each black suit and at least one in diamonds. I suspect -100 won't be terrible for N/S. Will any genius as North work out to pass out the board?

- 654
- 864
-K32
\& Q J 97

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } 654 \\ & \vee 864 \\ & * K 32 \\ & \& ~ Q J 97 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Bd: 28 | A QJ986 |
| DIr: West | $\bullet 54$ |
| Vul: N-S | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{J} 1064 \\ & 93 \end{aligned}$ |
| A AK 103 | 3 A 7 |
| - Q 863 | - AKJ 109 |
| - 85 | - AK73 |
| \& 842 | \& A 76 |
|  | A 542 |
|  | - 72 |
|  | - Q 92 |
|  | \& KQJ 105 |


|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } 654 \\ & \vee 864 \\ & * K 32 \\ & \& ~ Q J 97 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Bd: 28 | A QJ986 |
| DIr: West | $\checkmark 54$ |
| Vul: N-S | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{J} 1064 \\ & 93 \end{aligned}$ |
| A AK 103 | 3 A 7 |
| - Q 863 | - AKJ 109 |
| - 85 | - AK73 |
| \& 842 | \& A 76 |
|  | A 542 |
|  | $\bullet 72$ |
|  | - Q 92 |
|  | \& KQJ 105 |


| Bd: 29 A A Q 10542 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| DIr: North $\downarrow 6$ |  |
| Vul: Both 865 |  |
|  |  |
| A J 3 | A 976 |
| - K 107 | - A Q J 85 |
| - KQ4 | - A 103 |
| \& AQJ 7 | 2 \& K 6 |
|  | A K 8 |
|  | -9432 |
|  | - J 97 |
|  | \& 10854 |

## か J 3 か 976

-K107 VAQJ85

- KQ4 A A 103
\& $A Q J 72$ \& $K 6$
- K 8
$\bullet 9432$
- J 97
\& 10854

| Bd: 30 | A - |
| :---: | :---: |
| Dlr: East | -KQ9542 |
| Vul: None | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K J } 1076 \\ & \& K 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| A A 3 | A 107654 |
| -763 | $\bullet$ - |
| - A 9 | -Q542 |
| \& A Q J 9 | 54 \& 10862 |
|  | AKQJ982 |
|  | - A J 108 |
|  | -83 |
|  | \% 3 |

West will bid 1 rather than distort his hand into opening any number of notrump, and most Norths will overcall $\mathbf{1 A}$. Now what? South is likely to raise spades sooner or later, while West rates to make a takeout double at his second turn, but it is not clear where E/W will end up. E/W actually belong in notrump; on a spade lead, they figure to take at least eight tricks there. They can take +110 or +130 in diamonds, while clubs plays for +110 . In other words, this is a fine pairs deal, on which overtricks may be paramount.

This board may appear in a bidding challenge competition sooner or later. If you look at the East and West cards in $6 \boldsymbol{V}, 12$ tricks can almost be claimed at the get-go, unless both red suits break very badly. But switch East's small diamond into a club and the limit on the hand is 11 tricks; and how will the partnership ever find that out? Note that if E/W agree hearts and East shows a singleton spade, then West will quite reasonably imagine that his cards have gotten worse rather than improved.

North has a textbook $2 \boldsymbol{1}$ opening, and East will surely stretch to overcall $3 \boldsymbol{V}$. Now West will make at least one slam try before letting his partner out in $4 \boldsymbol{V}$. However, you can imagine some aggressive Souths raising spades, or West jumping to 5 to ask East to bid slam with a spade control. Regardless, it looks normal for E/W to end up in the heart game and collect 11 tricks. One exception might come if North passes initially, when East may play 3NT and rack up 13 tricks there.

You'd envisage West overcalling 2\% over 1A at a plurality of tables, with East supporting clubs while $N / S$ bid and raise hearts. Both sides rate to act aggressively here: E/W do, after all, have a big fit, while East's heart void may encourage him to preempt to the maximum. In fact, N/S can take 11 tricks if they guess diamonds, while the 6-0 spade break means that West may be able to discard his spade loser on the $Q$. Bottom line: par on the deal is $6 \boldsymbol{\%}$ down one, but you might well see both sides being allowed to bid and make game.

| Bd: 31 | A Q J 94 | With E/W silent, South will open 1 and have to decide what to do over a 1 d response. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: South | - J 108 | Simplest is best: support with support. A raise to $2 \boldsymbol{A}$ will probably see North pass, where |
| Vul: N-S | - 87 | after a heart lead he ought to collect +170 . But if South finds the real stretch of reversing |
|  | \% K Q 106 | into hearts, North will jump to 3NT and play there, in what rates to be a perfectly |
| A 10763 | AK8 | makeable spot - especially since East is almost endplayed on opening lead. A small |
| - A 6 | - Q 952 | diamond gives away the least, but a low club, ducked all round, might suffice to hold |
| $\text { -J } 3$ | Q965 | declarer to nine tricks. |
| *A8543 | $3 \text { A }$ |  |
|  | - K 743 |  |
|  | - AK 1042 |  |
|  | \& J |  |
| Bd: 32 | A AK2 | Where N/S play 2/1 GF, a typical auction will see North open 10 and South respond |
| Dlr: West | - AQ954 | 1NT. North will raise to 2NT to show 18-19 balanced or semi-balanced, and South has |
| Vul: E-W | - Q 7 | an easy acceptance. West should have no reason not to lead spades, after which the |
|  | \& K 86 | extremely fortunate lie of the hearts makes up somewhat for the bad news in clubs. |
| A J 9853 | A 1076 | In practice, though, when clubs go pear-shaped, unless South has unblocked hearts |
| $\checkmark 8732$ | - J 106 | immediately, declarer's awkward communications means that 11 tricks are no longer |
| $\text { A } 842$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K93 } \\ & \& \text { QJ } 103 \end{aligned}$ | possible. So +460 may score N/S extremely well here. |
|  | A Q 4 |  |
|  | $\bullet$ K |  |
|  | -J 1065 |  |
|  | \& A 97542 |  |
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